

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/831,567	05/10/2001	Gerhard Gille	MO-6323/STA-	6933
7:	590 06/28/2002			
Bayer Corporation			EXAMINER	
100 Bayer Road Pittsburgh, PA			WILKINS III, HARRY D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1742	
			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

e		<u> </u>	WII-4			
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/831,567	GILLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Harry D Wilkins, III	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence	address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.12 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SI3 cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed  um of thirty (30) days will be considered ti  K (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ຸາ. mely. s communication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6 and 9</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirem	nent.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
		•				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/831,567

Art Unit: 1742

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure. As per the requirements of 35 USC 371, the PCT abstract will be printed as the abstract in this application.

# Claim Objections

2. Claims 4-6 and 9 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims have not been further treated on the merits.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Alonso et al (XP-000874467).

Alonso et al anticipate the invention as claimed. Alonso et al teach (see abstract) a method of forming tungsten carbides that includes gas-phase carburization of tungsten precursor compound (tungsten trioxide) at temperatures of 700-1100°C. The examples disclosed by Alonso et al contain 39, 22 and 0% CO<sub>2</sub>. Though Alonso et al do not teach that the CO<sub>2</sub> content is above the Boudouard equilibrium content, based

Application/Control Number: 09/831,567

Art Unit: 1742

on the disclosure in the specification in Example 1 (page 8), 3% CO2 is above this value, thus, 39 and 22% are also above the Boudouard equilibrium content.

Regarding claim 3, Alonso et al teach (see page 145) that powders are produced at 900 and 1100°C and are shown in Figure 8. Therefore, Alonso et al teach that the carburization occurs at 900°C.

Regarding claims 7 and 8, because Alonso et al teach an identical composition (WC) that is produced by an identical process, one of ordinary skill in the art would have considered the tungsten carbide of Alonso et al to inherently possess the relationship between coherence length and lattice strain as claimed.

(Examiner's Note: Should claims 4 and 5 be amended to be in proper multiple dependent form, the following is a discussion of the teachings of Alonso et al with regard to those claims. Alonso et al teach (see abstract) that the carburization treatment time is 6 hours. Alonso et al teach (see abstract) that the precursor material is tungsten trioxide.)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alonso et al (XP-000874467).

The teachings of Alonso et al are discussed above in paragraph no. 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,567

Art Unit: 1742

Alonso et al are silent as to the carbon activity of the carburization process (carbon activity is the equivalent of a concentration of carbon).

However, changes in temperature, concentrations, or other process conditions of an old process does not impart patentability unless the recited ranges are critical, i.e., they produce a new and unexpected result. In re Aller et al (CCPA 1955) 220 F2d 454, 105 USPQ 233.

Applicant has not shown that within the claimed range, through comparison data, unexpected results are produced.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Felten et al (FR 2,294,133) teach (see page 2) that the reaction  $WO_3 + 4C \rightarrow WC + 3 CO$  at 1200-1500°C. This reaction provides motivation to heat treat the formed WC powder at 1150-1450°C in order to ensure that all of the precursor  $WO_3$  has been converted to WC.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Harry D Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 703-305-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/831,567

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Harry D Wilkins, III Examiner Art Unit 1742

hdw June 26, 2002

> SCOTT KASTLER PRIMARY EXAMINER